

Capacity building activity for Article 6.2 reporting

Mutual Learning Program for Enhanced Transparency (MLP)

Temuulen Murun, Researcher, IGES
Tomohiko Hattori, Researcher, IGES

1st Reporting Working Group of Article 6 Implementation Partnership
19 & 21 April 2023



Mutual Learning Program for Enhanced Transparency (MLP)

Purpose:

- Understand how to apply the reporting guidance under Art. 6 and 13
- Explore improvement areas of next reporting
- Strengthen a network working on Art. 6 and 13 reporting

Activity:

- 7 months online program
- 3 meetings and 2 reporting exercises

Output:

- Draft reporting on Art. 6 and 13

Participants and topics

Article 6.2 reporting pair

1. Thailand (TGO)
– IGES


2. Chile –
Thailand (TGO) –
IGES

3. Indonesia
(CMEA, MOEF) –
Mongolia (MET)

4. Bangladesh
(DOE) – IGES

TGO: Thailand Greenhouse Gas Management Organization
CMEA: Coordinating Ministry for Economic Affairs of Indonesia
MET: Ministry of Environment and Tourism of Mongolia
MOEF: Ministry of Environment and Forestry of Indonesia
DOE: Department of Environment of Bangladesh

MLP overview



*JCM: Joint crediting mechanism

1st Exercise : Draft hypothetical Art. 6.2 reporting using the JCM

Mutual Learning Program for Enhanced Transparency on Article 6.2 reporting
Template for second exercise (Initial report)

Outline for the initial report and updated initial report referred to in decision 2/CMA.3, annex, chapter IV.A (Initial report) (hypothetical submission year: 2023)

Note: References to paragraphs in the outline below are to paragraphs in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3. “Article” refers to an Article of the Paris Agreement.

I. Participation responsibilities (para. 18(a)⁵)

A. Information on how the Party ensures that it is a Party to the Paris Agreement (para. 18(a), para. 4(a), to be updated by para. 21(a))

[Illustrative elements of information Party may wish to provide:

- Information on the deposition of its instrument of ratification of the Paris Agreement on #date# and a confirmation that it has not withdrawn from the Paris Agreement. The Party provides a weblink to further information #weblink#.]

[Illustrative elements of information Party may wish to provide:


- Link to the depositary of the instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.]

Japan ratified the Paris Agreement on 8 November 2016 and continues to be a Party.⁶

B. Information on how the Party ensures that it has prepared, communicated and is maintaining an NDC in accordance with Article 4, paragraph 2 (para. 18(a), para. 4(b), to be updated by para. 21(a))

[Illustrative elements of information Party may wish to provide:

- An extract of and a link to its most recent NDC in the NDC Registry or attaches the NDC to its report. The Party also declares that it is continuing to implement its NDC.]



Initial report (MLP template using Article 6.2 reporting outline)

2st Exercise : Draft hypothetical Art. 6.2 reporting using the JCM

Template for second exercise (AI-AEF)_IGES.xlsx - Excel

File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View Help Acrobat Tell me what you want to do

12

Table 1: Heading

Party JP

Report 2023

^a The annual period from 1 January to 31 December during which actions occurred.

Table 2: Actions

Article 6 database record ID	Cooperative approach ^a	Unique identifier		Underlying unit block		ITMO			transferring participating Party ⁱ	ITMO details			Authorization				
		First unique identifier ^b	Last unique identifier ^c	Underlying unit block start ID ^d	Underlying unit block end ^e	Quantity (expressed in Metric ^f)	Quantity (t CO ₂ e metric) ^g	factor (reporting Party) ^h		Vintage ^j	Sector(s) ^k	Activity type(s) ^l	Date of authorization ^m	Authorization ID ⁿ	Purposes for authorization	OIMP authorized by the Party ^o	First transfer definition ^p
		JCM	JCM-BD-00201	JCM-BD-00201	1	87	GHG	NA		87	NA	BD	2021	Energy	Reduction	28-Mar-23	TBD
JCM	JCM-BD-00401	JCM-BD-00401	88	265	GHG	NA	178	NA	BD	2021	Energy	Reduction	28-Mar-23	TBD	NDC and OIMP	CORSIA	Authorization

^a Name/ID of the cooperative approach as per common nomenclatures.
^b First ITMO unique identifier.
^c Last ITMO unique identifier.
^d Underlying unit block start ID for ITMOs recorded on the basis of cooperative approach units tracked in an underlying cooperative approach registry.
^e Underlying unit block end ID for ITMOs recorded on the basis of cooperative approach units tracked in an underlying cooperative approach registry.
^f GHG or non-GHG.
^g For non-GHG, the metric in which the ITMO was generated as per common nomenclatures.
^h The conversion method or factor of the non-GHG units in the reporting Party's as per decision 2/CMA.3, annex, para. 22(d).

Ready

Annual information (MLP template using draft Agreed Electronic format (AEF))

MLP main discussion points

- Understanding terminologies for Article 6 reporting for the JCM
- Exchanging views on new terminologies from the draft AEF
- Discussing how the JCM is contributing to NDC achievement and low-emission development strategies
- Sharing information on how to establish institutional arrangements for ITMOs authorization
- Deepening the understanding of corresponding adjustments (CA) concept
- Discussing how to develop domestic arrangements for preparing the initial report
- Sharing views on how the JCM rules can be updated in line with Article 6

Survey result: MLP participating countries in 2022

The most challenging parts: Understanding of Article 6.2 guidance, Coordinating internally on reporting information, Collecting necessary data (qualitative and quantitative)

Effective way of learning Article 6.2 guidance: Developing own country's reports (first/second exercises), Reviewing pairing country's reports, Discussion based on draft reports

Institutional arrangements for Article 6 reporting: Under discussion, No discussion


The most challenging parts in participation requirements: Establishing domestic arrangements for ITMOs authorization, Developing a registry system to track ITMOs, Providing the most recent GHG inventory

Domestic arrangements for ITMOs authorization: Internal discussion, No discussion – Unclear

Challenges for establishing domestic arrangements for ITMOs authorization: A lack of understanding of Article 6 in relevant ministries, Coordination between ministries, Development of official documents often take time


Survey result: JCM partner countries (2022)

Q1: Do you have any difficulties to meet the following requirements related to participation responsibilities on Article 6? Please select if any difficulties.
(number=26)



Survey result: JCM partner countries (2022)

Q5: What kind of areas would like to prioritize for capacity building?
(number=26)



Lessons learned and capacity building needs

- Limited understanding of Article 6 guidance (e.g. ITMOs and CA methods) and reporting requirements including draft AEF
- Practical exercise such drafting reports is helpful to understand the Article 6 guidance and identify gaps on reporting requirements and data collection.
- Support on domestic arrangements on ITMOs authorization and developing registry systems for tracking ITMOs
- Need capacity building on relevant ministries for domestic arrangements (ITMOs authorization and reporting parts)
- Support and capacity building should be flexible and meet the needs of countries (e.g. program schedule, topics, informal discussion).

Thank you for your attention.

Temuulen Murun, Researcher, IGES

murun@iges.or.jp

Tomohiko Hattori, Researcher, IGES

hattori@iges.or.jp

Mutual Learning Program for Enhanced Transparency (MLP)

<https://www.iges.or.jp/en/projects/transparency>

MLP is funded by the Ministry of Environment Japan